	Case 2:23-cv-00177-DAD-SCR Docume	nt 29 Filed 11/25/24 Page 1 of 2
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
8	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
9		
10	CLARENCE V. KNIGHT,	No. 2:23-cv-0177 DAD SCR P
11	Plaintiff,	
12	v.	<u>ORDER</u>
13	R. ST. ANDRES, et al.,	
14	Defendants.	
15		
16	Plaintiff is a state prisoner, proceeding without counsel, with a civil rights complaint	
17	under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. Plaintiff alleges that defendant Watkins failed to permit him to present	
18	evidence at a disciplinary hearing in violation of his right to due process. On November 8, 2024,	
19	defendant filed a motion to opt out of the post-screening ADR project. Defendant's counsel	
20	states that after investigating plaintiff's claim and discussing the case with plaintiff and counsel's	
21	supervisor, counsel feels the gap between the parties' valuation of the case is too great and a	
22	settlement conference would not be productive at this time. This court finds good cause to grant	
23	defendant's motion.	
24	Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:	
25	1. Defendant's motion to opt out (ECF No. 28) is granted and the ADR stay of this	
26	action is lifted; and	
27		
28		1

## Case 2:23-cv-00177-DAD-SCR Document 29 Filed 11/25/24 Page 2 of 2 2. Within twenty-one days from the date of this order, defendant shall file a responsive

SEAN C. RIORDAN

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

pleading.

Dated: November 22, 2024